

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published of rule 977.

**IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT**

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

ALFRED ESPINOZA,

Defendant and Appellant.

F038793

(Super. Ct. No. 01CM7326)

**OPINION**

**THE COURT\***

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Kings County. Ronald J. Maciel, Judge.

Susan K. Keiser, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Bill Lockyer, Attorney General, Robert R. Anderson, Chief Assistant Attorney General, Jo Graves, Assistant Attorney General, and Carlos A. Martinez, Deputy Attorney General, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-ooOoo-

---

\* Before Dibiaso, Acting P.J., Harris, J., and Cornell, J.

On July 25, 2001, appellant, Alfred Espinoza, pled guilty to possession of methamphetamine while an inmate (Pen. Code, § 4573.6) and admitted allegations that he had a prior conviction within the meaning of the three strikes law (Pen. Code, § 667, subds. (b)-(i)). The court then sentenced him to a six-year term, which was to be served consecutive to the term he was then serving.

Espinoza's appellate counsel has filed a brief which summarizes the facts, with citations to the record, raises no issues, and asks this court to independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Espinoza has not responded to this court's invitation to submit additional briefing.

Following independent review of the record we find that no reasonably arguable legal or factual issues exist.

The judgment is affirmed.